

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS FO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.tepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/590,445	08/24/2006	Michael E. Jung	58086-235854 (2004-129-2)	6734
VENABLE LI	7590 08/07/200 P	9	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 343	O. BOX 34385 RAO, SAVITHA M			
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20043-9998		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			1614	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/07/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/590,445
 JUNG ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 APPIN MADSCHEI
 1614

interview Summary			
,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ARDIN MARSCHEL	1614	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) Ardin Marschel (SPE).	(3)		
(2) <u>Lars Genieser (Appl. Rep.)</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 03 August 2009.			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2) applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>rejected claims</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Sovak et al. in view of C	Chu et al.		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: We discussed ways to ov of Chu et al. as well as arguments/response that would resmailed with the prev. office action. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached. The FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER. INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERLED ASTATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTER EQUIPMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTER EQUIPMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE SU	nercome the 103(a) rejection to utl in consideration of docume ments which the examiner ag opy of the amendments that w 3.). CTION MUST INCLUDE THE last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRT!	reed would render yould render the SUBSTANCE Could been filed, APP (DAYS FROM TOWNICHEVER IS	et al. in view 1449s er the claims claims DF THE LICANT IS "HIS LATER, TO
	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 1614	